The Use of MPOs to Impact Statewide Assessment Results

Edward Monaghan, OME Preeti Choudhary, OME May 29, 2019



SKYPE WEBINAR INSTRUCTIONS

- As you participate in this webinar, please think about any questions you may have about Measurable Program Outcomes (MPOs).
- Ask your questions during the "Question Breaks" portions of the webinar, or enter them into the conversation/chat box.
- Please complete our evaluation!



MORE ABOUT SKYPE

- You may not have visual access to the presentation.
 Ed will announce the title and number of each page so
 you may advance to the appropriate page in the
 portable document format (PDF) file.
- You'll be muted throughout most of the webinar, but we will unmute participants at times for participation. Please don't unmute yourself at a time when presenters or others are talking.
- Thank you for your understanding as we continue to learn how to use the Skype platform for webinars. We look forward to your feedback and learning how to improve our webinars!



LEGAL PAGE

Statute

Title I, Part C, Sections 1304(b)(1)(D); 1306(a)(1)(D).

Code of Federal Regulations

34 CFR 200.83.

Guidance

MEP Guidance, March, 2017. Chapter IV, CNA and SDP, pages 50-51, Chapter VIII, Program Evaluation, page 86.



AGENDA

- Introduction: Input from Coordination Work Group (CWG) and directors.
- What are MPOs? What are their roles at the State Education Agency (SEA) and Local Education Agency (LEA) levels?
- Challenges and solutions to mobility and measuring progress.
- SMART MPOs.
- Resources for effective MPOs.
- Director Discussion Effective MPO deployment:
 - Professional development for LEAs
 - Ways to share data between and with LEAs



INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION: INPUT FROM CWG AND DIRECTORS

- Thank you CWG and directors for your input!
- We attempted to include most director suggestions and incorporate them within five topics.
- Our objective for today is that directors will feel better prepared to increase SEA/LEA understanding of MPOs, gather and analyze data on current MPOs, and when appropriate, develop new MPOs.

WHAT ARE MPOS?

WHAT ARE THEIR ROLES AT THE SEA AND LEA LEVELS?



WHAT ARE MPOS?

 The SEA produces measurable program outcomes (objectives) that meet the identified unique needs of migratory children and help migratory children achieve the State's performance targets. (34 CFR 200.83)



WHAT ARE ROLES OF MPOS AT SEA AND LEA LEVELS?

- Each LEA has different needs. Can each LEA define their own MPOs and report on them?
- Can MPOs use SEA benchmark assessments or annual assessments? Must MPOs necessarily address Reading/Language Arts (LA) and Mathematics?

COMPREHENSIVE NEEDS ASSESSMENT-SERVICE DELIVERY PLAN-MEASURABLE PROGRAM OUTCOMES SEA FLOW CHART

Assessment (CNA)
Assess

Service Delivery Plan (SDP)

SEAs Include Strategies That:

- 1) Meet the Unique Needs of Migratory Children and
- 2) Help Migratory Children Achieve the State's Performance Targets

Measurable Program Outcomes (MPOs)

SEAs Measure the Effectiveness of the Strategies in the SDP



CNA-SDP-MPOS LEA FLOW CHART

Comprehensive Need Assessment (CNA)

LEAs Determine the Needs of Migratory Children and How Those Needs Relate to the SEA Priorities



LEAs Address the Unique Needs of Migratory Children In the SDP.

After Addressing the Needs, the SEA May Fund an LEA Project That Proposes to Address Other Identified Unique Needs of Migratory Children.

Measurable Program Outcomes (MPOs)

LEAs Measure the Effectiveness of the Strategies in the SDP.



EACH LEA HAS DIFFERENT NEEDS. CAN EACH LEA DEFINE THEIR OWN MPOS? ANSWER: "IT DEPENDS."

- LEAs must sufficiently address the unique needs of migratory children, as stated in the CNA.
- SEAs have the discretion to fund LEA projects with other identified special educational needs, if funds are available and services are unavailable from another funding source.

SEA QUESTIONS

- Do statewide assessments measure the effects that a supplementary program such as the Migrant Education Program (MEP) produces, or the effects of an entire educational program?
- Do statewide assessments measure the effectiveness of the MEP's specific strategies?
- Do statewide intact benchmark assessments
 (external source measuring a subset of content domain) measure the subset of content reflected in MPOs?

SEA QUESTION: MUST MPOS ADDRESS READING/LA AND MATHEMATICS?

- MPOs measure what the MEP produces to meet the unique needs of migratory children.
- The Office of Migrant Education (OME) requires SEAs to report on a minimum of one Reading/LA MPO and one Mathematics MPO in written evaluation reports, as SEAs are required to include SDP strategies that help migratory children achieve the State's performance targets.

CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS TO MOBILITY AND MEASURING PROGRESS

MOBILITY AND MEASURING PROGRESS

- OME suggestions for measuring progress, especially in a short period of time:
 - Student mastery or student progress toward learning objectives, not content area proficiency.
 - Curriculum-based measures (CBMs) or Curriculum-Embedded Performance Assessments (CEPAs) may measure specific content, and are usually teacher-constructed.

SEA MPO EXAMPLES:

- Indiana MEP: Mastery
- Indiana MEP: Progress
- Pennsylvania MEP: Out-Of-School Youth



INDIANA MEP MPOS: MASTERY

High School Graduation and Services for	OSY		
4A) By the end of the 2018-19 reporting	IMP Results	IMP	By June 15 for
period, 80% of secondary-aged			regular term
migratory students enrolled in			programs
supplemental MEP instructional services			By September 15
for five sessions in RSY or SSY will meet			for summer term
objectives set in their Individual Migrant			programs
Education Plan (IMP), which may			
include academic skills, life skills, English			
skills, college and career readiness,			
alternative programming, or other			
activities			

INDIANA MEP MPOS: PROGRESS

MPO	Data Element	Reporting Form	Timeline
English Language Arts			
1A) By the end of the 2018-19 reporting	Pre and post-scores	Assessment Results	By June 15 for
period, migratory students in grades K-8	from a curriculum-	Spreadsheet (Google	regular term
receiving MEP instructional services in	based assessment	Doc)	programs
English Language Arts for 30 sessions or			By September 15
three weeks of summer programming			for summer term
will achieve a statistically significant			programs
gain (p<.05) on a curriculum-based			
assessment.			
Mathematics			
2A) By the end of the 2018-19 reporting	Pre and post-scores	Assessment Results	By June 15 for
period, migratory students in grades K-8	from a curriculum-	Spreadsheet (Google	regular term
receiving MEP instructional services in	based assessment	Doc)	programs
math for 30 sessions or three weeks of			By September 15
summer programming will achieve a			for summer term
statistically significant gain (p<.05) on a			programs
curriculum-based assessment.			

PENNSYLVANIA MEP MPOS: OUT-OF-SCHOOL YOUTH

Out-of-School Youth Objective 6: By the end of 2016-17, 25 percent of out-of-school youth who express an interest will attend educational opportunities.

This objective was achieved for the 2017-18 year for English as a Second Language (ESL) classes, but not job training or GED programs.

- 83 percent of non-fluent individuals attended and/or completed ESL classes. For
 Priority for Service youth, 75 percent attended or completed such classes. For youth
 without this designation, the percentage was 49 percent, indicating that Priority for
 Service youth were served first.
- 14 percent of youth attended and/or completed job training. For Priority for Service youth, 7 percent attended or completed such training. For youth without this designation, the percentage was 1 percent, indicating that Priority for Service youth were served first.
- 21 percent of youth attended and/or completed a GED program. For Priority for Service youth, 2 percent attended or completed a GED program. For youth without this designation, the percentage was 4 percent.

PENNSYLVANIA MEP MPOS: PRESCHOOL

School Readiness Objective 2a: By the end of 2016-17, 60 percent of migrant children ages 3-5 will participate in preschool programming.

This objective was not achieved for 2017-18 for preschool-age children (ages 3+ not yet enrolled in kindergarten), but it was close. Of preschool-age children, 59 percent were enrolled in preschool, which is higher than the prior year (55 percent). By Priority for Service status, 35 percent of Priority for Service preschool-age students were enrolled in preschool compared to 75 percent of non-Priority for Service preschool-age students. However, evidence shows that most students not enrolled in preschool are not enrolled for reasons beyond their control.

Question Break #1

 If you have a question about MPOs or challenges in measuring progress, please write a question within the chat box.

SMART MPOS

SMART MPOs

- **S**pecific
- Measurable
- Attainable (Actionable)
- Relevant (Realistic)
- Time-Based



Time-Based

SMART MPOs - Example

Measurable

Of students enrolled in MEP Project A, 50% of third-grade students who have scored below mastery on a benchmark assessment will be able to demonstrate mastery in the use of phonics knowledge and word parts to pronounce words they do not recognize as

documented through a curriculum based

documented through a curriculum-based

measure.

Relevant

Attainable

30%? 50%? 70%? 100%?



RESOURCES FOR EFFECTIVE MPOS

MPO RESOURCES

- SDP Toolkit: pages 48-49 "MPO Overview"
- Non-Regulatory Guidance (NRG): pages 85-87 "Performance Goals, Performance Indicators, and Measurable Outcomes"
- MEP Written Evaluation Report Checklist: "Activities before the Evaluation"



DIRECTOR DISCUSSION: EFFECTIVE MPO DEPLOYMENT

PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT FOR LEAS, AND SHARING DATA WITH LEAS

"My LEAs vary in their understandings of MPOs. How can I increase their understandings?"

- Indiana MEP: Nathan Williamson, Valerie Beard and Veronica Palacio
- Pennsylvania MEP: Carmen Medina, Leslie McConnell, and Yolanda Yugar
- Idaho MEP: Sarah Seamount



INDIANA MEP MPO PROCESS

- CNA meeting with stakeholders: Regional Center directors and recruiters, counselors, family liaisons, data team, programming staff, tutors, State MEP staff.
- Stakeholders developed SDP and determined MPOs in ELA, Math, School Readiness, HS Graduation/OSY.
- Evidence of the increase of stakeholder MPO understanding and effect on services.

PENNSYLVANIA MEP MPO PROCESS

- PA process for establishing MPOs: CNA, priority needs, SDP.
- MPOs how they fit with GPRAs, leading indicators, other state results.
- MPOs how they are incorporated in LEA applications.
- Analysis of MPOs and comparisons.



IDAHO MEP MPO PROCESS

Working with LEAs to meet Idaho MPOs



MPOs, Strategies and the Consolidated Grant Application

STEP 1: LEAs provide a brief "Plan for Implementation" of each MPO on the Consolidated Grant Application

Strategy #	MPO	Strategy	Plan for Implementation
1.1.a 🕜	1.1) By the end of program year 2018-2019, 80% of migrant parents attending parent involvement activities will report on a pre/post survey that they have an increased ability to support school readiness activities in the home.	Provide migrant parents with ideas, activities, and materials for use at home with their children to promote first language development and school readiness and use (e.g., language acquisition, packets with school supplies, books and activities, etc.).	Ex: Preschool parent nights with activities and materials to keep Parents will be provided with materials and online resources (where feasible), books and supplies from meetings, for use at home. We will utilize home visits and parent nights to reach families. Migrant families also have in-home access to iPads in order to enhance their opportunities for learning and to stay connected with the learning at the school. For those who qualify, Imagine Learning, licenses will be provided, especially for younger aged children.



The MPO Toolkit

- The toolkit is posted online in Word so districts can adapt it to fit their needs.
- Each of 5 goal areas (40 pages total) has documents needed to measure progress including parent surveys in Spanish & English.
- Training is provided in the fall to migrant directors.
- The toolkit is located under Migrant Services → Service Delivery Plan.

Table of Contents

DIRECTIONS FOR TOOLKIT	
How to get started using the toolkit	
When to use the toolkit	
How to use the toolkit to complete the MPO Program Evaluation in MSIS3	
MEASURABLE PROGRAM OUTCOMES (MPOs) TOOLS in TOOLKIT	
GOAL AREA 1: SCHOOL READINESS for 3-5 Year-olds	
Migrant Distribution Log MPO 1.1, 2.1 & 3.1	
School Readiness Parent Pre-Survey MPO 1.1	
Pre-Encuesta de Padres para Preparación Pre-escolar MPO 1.1	
School Readiness Parent Post-Survey MPO 1.1	
Post-Encuesta de Padres para Preparación Pre-escolar MPO 1.1	
GOAL AREA 2 & 3: English Language Arts and Mathematics Achievement	
Pre-Post Assessment Scores MPO 2.2a	
Migrant Student Extended Services MPO 2.2b & 3.2b	
Staff Professional Development Survey MPO 2.3-3.3	
Literacy or Math Parent Pre-Survey MPO 2.4 or 3.4	
Pre-Encuesta de Padres por para Alfabetización y Matemáticas MPO 2.4 o 3.4	
Literacy or Math Parent Post-Survey MPO 2.4 or 3.4	
Post-Encuesta de Padres para Alfabetización y Matemáticas MPO 2.4 o 3.4	



http://www.sde.idaho.gov/el-migrant/migrant/index.html.

LEA Monitoring Tool

Compliance monitoring of migrant project districts includes review of the LEA data collected to show progress toward meeting MPOs.

PROG 15 I-C	State and local agencies must address students' unique needs with a plan that specifies measurable program objectives and outcomes. ESSA Section 1306(a)(1)(D)	MEASURABLE PROGRAM OUTCOMES (MPOS) Evidence of data collection to measure progress toward current year MPOs SDE Preparation: Measurable Program Outcomes Program Evaluation completed in MSIS for prior year				Finding: The State did not find evidence that the district is fully implementing the approved MEP plan and monitoring its progress towards meeting the Measurable Program Outcomes (MPOs) as outlined in the State Service Delivery Plan. Action Needed: Submit to the State a detailed description outlining how the district plans to address the full implementation of the approved MEP plan and collect data for each MPO selected.
--------------	--	---	--	--	--	---



Data Collection

At the end of the performance period, LEAs report the results of their efforts. This has recently been changed to include a place to enter specific numbers. This will allow state data aggregation for evaluation.

1.0 SCHOOL READINESS for 3 to 5 year-old children			
MPO	Result		
1.1) By the end of program year 2018-2019, 80% of migrant parents attending parent involvement activities will report on a pre/post survey that they have an increased ability to support school readiness activities in the home.	Number of parents who reported increased ability to support school readiness at home 13		
Show/Hide Strategies Strategies: Provide migrant parents with ideas, activities, and materials for use at home with their children to promote first language developmer and school readiness and use (e.g., language acquisition, packets with school supplies, books and activities, etc.).	Number of parents who participated in activities		
	Goal Achieved:		
Provide family literacy opportunities to migrant families.	● Y ● N		
	Comment: (required if goal not met) The family liaison provided 3 home visits per family and worked with a parent and the child. Parents loved the books and art projects and the district checklist for kindergarten ready skills.		



Question Break #2

 If you have a question about "SMART" MPOs, resources, or effective MPO deployment, please write it within the chat box.

SUMMARY

- Effective MPOs address unique needs of migratory children and help them achieve the State's performance targets.
- Effective MPOs provide a common set of goals that the SEA and LEAs are trying to achieve.
- Effective MPOs measure the efficacy of your State's MEP services, and inform decisionmaking.

THANK YOU!!!

We hope you enjoyed this webinar. Please take a moment to fill out a short poll about your experience.

For additional assistance, contact the OME Data-Evaluation Team:

Edward Monaghan: edward.monaghan@ed.gov
Preeti Choudhary: preeti.choudhary@ed.gov



Thank you for completing the survey!

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. The obligation to respond to this collection is voluntary. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Ave., SW, Washington, DC 20210-4537 or email ICDocketMgr@ed.gov and reference the OMB Control Number 1800-0011.